Development and Thermophysical Profile of Cetyl Alcohol-in-Water Nanoemulsions for Thermal Management †
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Abstract: This study focuses on the preparation, thermophysical and rheological characterization of phase change material nanoemulsions as latent functionally thermal fluids. Aqueous dispersions with fine droplets of cetyl alcohol (with a melting temperature at ~321 K) were prepared by means of a solvent-assisted method, combining ultrasonication with non-ionic and anionic emulsifiers. Eicosyl alcohol (melting at ~337 K) and hydrophobic silica nanoparticles were tested as nucleating agents. Droplet size studies through time and after freeze–thaw cycles confirmed the good stability of formulated nanoemulsions. Phase change analyses proved the effectiveness of eicosyl alcohol to reduce subcooling to a few Kelvin. Although phase change material emulsions exhibited thermal conductivities much larger than bulk cetyl alcohol (at least 60% higher when droplets are solid), reductions in this property reached 15% when compared to water. Samples mainly showed desirable Newtonian behavior (or slight shear thinning viscosities) and modifications in density around melting transition this property reached 15% when compared to water. Samples mainly showed desirable Newtonian behavior (or slight shear thinning viscosities) and modifications in density around melting transition were lower than 1.2%. In the case of phase change material nanoemulsions with 8 wt.% content of dispersed phase, enhancements in the energy storage capacity overcome 20% (considering an operational temperature interval of 10 K around solid–liquid phase change). Formulated dispersions also showed good thermal reliability throughout 200 solidification–melting cycles.
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1. Introduction

Over last decades, the scarcity of natural energy resources and the effects of energy-related issues, such as global warming or ozone depletion, have assumed a major role in policy agendas around the world [1]. “Energy Roadmap 2050” is committed to reach European Union’s targets of 80–95% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions relative to 1990 levels by 2050. Meeting those objectives requires decoupling economic growth from fossil fuel-based technologies by lowering the energy consumption and fostering the transition to renewables [2,3]. Thermal energy storage (TES) has proven great potential in energy-saving and the reduction of environmental pollution [4,5]. Technologies based on phase change materials (PCMs), absorbing latent heat during melting transition and releasing such thermal energy during crystallization/solidification process are considered among the most effective strategies for energy storage [6]. However, implementation and possible utilization of latent heat approaches at large scale rely on their appropriate integration in thermal facilities. In this sense, phase change material nanoemulsions
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In general, most investigations on PCM emulsions used straight-chained alkanes (so-called paraffins) as the dispersed phase [7,9–12]. Such hydrocarbons are inexpensive, hydrophobic and exhibit high latent heats covering a wide range of temperatures (depending on the length of the carbon chain) [6]. Nevertheless, there are numerous alternatives that can be utilized to produce aqueous or nonaqueous PCM emulsions [13,14]. Thus, fatty/sugar alcohols or fatty acid (esters) are still relatively unexplored, while they are less toxic than paraffins and can be produced from bio-sourced feedstocks [15–17]. Puupponen et al. [13] prepared fatty acid-in-water nanoemulsions via phase inversion composition using 1–5 wt.% contents of stearic acid (melting transition ~326 K) as the dispersed phase and different mixtures of Span85, SDS and sodium stearate (1:1:1) as surfactants. The authors also attempted to prepare water-based emulsions of myristic acid (another fatty acid melting at ~326 K), but the samples presented phase separation after a few days [13]. Fischer et al. [18] formulated an aqueous emulsion using a mixture of two fatty acid esters (containing equal amounts of commercial CrodaTherm™ 53 and CrodaTherm™ 47) at a 16% mass concentration as the dispersed phase and stabilized with 4 wt.% of two ethoxylated fatty alcohols. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and T-history analyses showed that the apparent specific heat capacity of the sample doubled that of water at around 323 K [18]. The heat transfer performance of that same emulsion and its potential for advanced temperature management in high voltage electrical devices was experimentally and numerically evaluated in two recent works from the same authors [19,20]. When it comes to fatty alcohols such as 1-hexadecanol (also known as cetyl or palmityl alcohol), these materials have proved effective as core for the preparation of micro- [21–25] or nano-encapsulated [26] PCMs. However, to the best of our knowledge, no research has been conducted on the preparation and characterization of aqueous phase change material nanoemulsions using cetyl alcohol as main component of dispersed phase.

There are two major concerns in the development of phase change material nanoemulsions, namely instability and subcooling issues [27]. Nanoemulsions are thermodynamically unfavorable colloidal dispersions. Hence, an appropriate surfactant (or mixture of surfactants) is usually necessary to protect newly formed droplets against different destabilization mechanisms and ensure kinetic stability during storage [28]. When it comes to the industrial implementation of PCM nanoemulsions, samples may be required to be strongly pumped or droplets might need to change from solid to liquid (and vice versa) multiple times [29,30]. The definition of the PCM:surfactant(s):water combination must therefore be carried out on the basis of a careful study of sample stability under various operation conditions. Subcooling or supercooling occurs when a material remains liquid at temperatures below its thermodynamic melting point [31]. Most organic PCMs such as paraffins or fatty alcohols exhibit limited subcooling (no more than a few degrees) in bulk form. However, when scattered in fine droplets or capsules, those materials can behave differently than expected in large volumes. Thus, contrary to bulk systems, heterogeneous nucleation is quite unlikely in nano- or microscopic confinements, and crystal growth is generally slower [32]. This usually results in a reduction of solidification temperature and a more pronounced subcooling effect of PCM slurries. Subcooling (or supercooling) is an undesirable phenomenon in terms of efficiency, since a sample with a large difference between melting and crystallization temperatures may require operating in a wider temperature range to reversibly undergo solid–liquid transition [33]. The use of a nucleating agent (NA) is the most common strategy to promote heterogeneous nucleation and reduce subcooling of dispersed droplets or microcapsules. When it comes to phase change material emulsions,
micro/nanoparticles [34–40] or other PCMs with melting points higher than that of the main component of the dispersed phase [29,34,41–45] are usually considered as nucleating agents. Huang et al. [32,46] conducted a comprehensive investigation on the influences of surfactant type, nucleating agent and droplet size on the subcooling of paraffin-in-water emulsions. The authors observed that aqueous dispersions containing nanometric particles of \( n \)-hexadecane showed subcooling degrees of up to 15 K [32]. However, this phenomenon got considerably reduced when a paraffin wax of a higher melting point was incorporated into the dispersed phase. The potential of (non)-paraffinic materials to work as crystal seeds and trigger the solidification in PCM droplets was further discussed by Günther et al. [47].

Regarding the use of micro- or nanoparticles, interesting results were obtained when using carbonaceous materials such as carbon nanotubes [34,38,40] or graphene oxide [35,48]. Zhao et al. [48] and Barison et al. [35] recently prepared paraffin-in-water emulsions and proved the potential of (reduced) graphene oxide not only as nucleating agent but also as an emulsifier and enhancer of optical properties. Another interesting possibility is the use of hydrophobic nanomaterials [27,39,49]. Thus, Zhang et al. [49] effectively controlled the subcooling of a 20 wt.% \( n \)-octacosane-in-water emulsion stabilized with Tween80/Span20 by means of an optimized 0.3 wt.% concentration of hydrophobic silica (7–40 nm in diameter). Zhang et al. [27,39] also investigated the effect of SiO\(_2\) nanoparticles to reduce the subcooling of aqueous emulsions loaded with 30 wt.% of \( n \)-hexadecane and stabilized with either Tween/Span [39] or Brij-type [27] surfactants. A 2 wt.% content of silica particles proved to be really effective to suppress the subcooling of the Tween80/Span80 sample [39]. However, only moderate reductions were measured in the case of emulsions prepared using Brij-type emulsifiers and loaded with 0.5–4 wt.% contents of hydrophobic silica [27].

In addition to a high storage heat capacity, PCM emulsions are expected to have appropriate heat transfer performance. High dispersed phase contents (generally larger than 20 or 30 wt.%) may lead to strong increases in dynamic viscosity or non-Newtonian behaviors, which, in turn, may render these materials unattractive for thermal applications due to sharp rises in pumping power. Dynamic viscosity and rheological behavior can be considerably affected by the dispersed phase content, surfactant type or the solid/liquid state of dispersed droplets [7]. Shao et al. [30] reported a dynamic viscosity 13 times higher than that of water for a 30 wt.% water dispersion of commercial RT10 stabilized with a mixture of Brij 52 and Tween 60. Zhang et al. [38,49] observed significant differences in dynamic viscosity depending on surfactant type and concentration when investigating \( n \)-hexadecane-in-water emulsions stabilized with Tween 20, Tween 80 and SDS [38] or \( n \)-octadecane-in-water samples emulsified with several Tween:Span mixtures [49]. Hence, alongside addressing instability and subcooling issues, a detailed fundamental knowledge of the thermophysical properties and rheological behavior of PCMEs is necessary to understand and evaluate the performance of those novel slurries in thermal systems.

PCMEs are secondary fluids potentially attractive for a wide range of thermal applications requiring high heat storage capacities or energy exchanges under nearly isothermal conditions, such as heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) or solar harvesting [50,51]. From a technical viewpoint, Rinaldi et al. [52] investigated the applicability of paraffin-in-water emulsions for their use in low-temperature district heating. In comparison to water, the slurry containing PCM droplets with a solid–liquid transition in the range from 313 to 333 K improved the efficiency of the system and allowed an easier regulation of the operation conditions [52]. PCMEs are also strong candidates in isothermal cooling of electronic devices [7]. In particular, Cao et al. [53] analyzed the potential of paraffin slurries containing 10% in the mass of commercial OP44E (with a melting at 344–348 K) for thermal management of lithium-ion batteries (the core component of new generation automobiles). That PCME was observed to reach the same cooling performance with a pumping power of only 18.5% in comparison to water.

In this work, we present the preparation and thermophysical profile of cetyl alcohol-in-water nanoemulsions prepared at 2–8 wt.% concentrations of the dispersed phase. Sample stability throughout the storage and after freeze–thaw cycles was thoughtfully evaluated.
by visual observation and droplet size measurements using dynamic light scattering. Solid–liquid-phase change transitions were determined in the temperature range from 293 to 353 K, investigating the effectiveness of eicosyl alcohol and hydrophobic SiO$_2$ nanoparticles as nucleating agents to reduce subcooling. The study was completed with dynamic viscosity, thermal conductivity and density measurements to evaluate the effect of the dispersed phase concentration and solid/liquid state of fatty alcohol droplets on those thermophysical properties.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

In order to increase the ionic strength and avoid possible pH changes that may affect the emulsion stability, a 0.04-M phosphate buffer solution (PBS) was selected as the continuous phase. Appropriate amounts of monobasic sodium phosphate (99%, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and dibasic sodium phosphate (99%, Sigma Aldrich) were dissolved in ultra-pure water (produced by a Fisherbrand™ Accu 20 system, Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) to obtain a pH value close to 7. Cetyl alcohol or 1-hexadecanol, C$_{16}$OH, (98%, Alfa-Aesar, Kandel, Germany) was utilized as the phase change material, while eicosyl alcohol or 1-eicosanol, C$_{20}$OH, (96%, Alfa-Aesar, Kandel, Germany) and hydrophobic silica nanoparticles, SiO$_2$ (AEROSIL® R972, Evonik Degussa GmbH, Essen, Germany) were tested as nucleating agents. Sodium dodecyl sulfate, SDS (98%, Sigma Aldrich), sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate, SDBS (technical grade, Sigma Aldrich) and Brij 30 (Fluka, Waltham, MA, USA) were utilized as emulsifiers. n-Hexane (>98.5%, Sigma Aldrich) was used as the solvent during the preparation.

2.2. Nanoemulsion Formulation and Preparation

As illustrated in Figure 1, fatty alcohol-in-water emulsions containing 2, 4, 6 and 8 wt.% of the dispersed phase were formulated following a solvent-assisted method similar to that proposed by Agresti et al. [34]. In order to obtain the PCMEs with small droplets, as well as good fluidity and stability, the effects of the presence and absence of nucleating agent (NA), surfactant type and its mass ratio related to the phase change material on their thermophysical properties were systematically investigated. Specifically, for analyzing the effect of the presence or absence of a nucleating agent and its nature, a series of PCMEs was prepared by employing eicosyl alcohol and hydrophobic SiO$_2$ nanoparticles as nucleating agents at the mass ratios of 9:1 (C$_{16}$OH:C$_{20}$OH) and 49:1 (C$_{16}$OH:SiO$_2$). The impact of using mixed surfactants (SFT) on the formation and stability of PCMEs were also explored. Based on the below results (Section 3.1), the combination of SDS, Brij 30 and SDBS at the optimal mass ratio was chosen for the following experiments.

Brij 30 and the dispersed phase (cetyl alcohol + nucleating agents) were dissolved in n-hexane (at a dispersed phase:solvent mass ratio of 1:5), while SDS and SDBS were mixed with water. Both solutions were sonicated separately and then joined together at 333 K in a low-power ultrasound bath (Ultrasonics-HD J.P. Selecta S.A., Barcelona, Spain) operating with an ultrasonic pulse of 40 kHz and an out power of 120 W. The mixture was then further sonicated for 12 min using an ultrasound probe (Bandelin Sonopuls HD 2200, Bandelin electronic GmbH, Berlin, Germany) together with a 13-mm-diameter titanium tip, operating at 20 kHz with an amplitude of 60% and an on:off pulse method of 50%:50%. Finally, the sample was maintained at 353-363 K under magnetic stirring for 2 to 3 h to evaporate the solvent (n-hexane).

Dispersed droplets sizes, polydispersity indices (pdi) and ζeta potentials were analyzed by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Malvern, UK) [54]. The device is equipped with a 4-mW He/Ne laser emitting 633 nm. Scattering intensity measurements were conducted with a 173° angle relative to the source using plastic cuvettes/capillary cells. Intensity autocorrelation functions were analyzed by a General Purpose Algorithm (integrated into the Malvern Zetasizer software), and the hydrodynamic droplet sizes were approximated as the effective Z-average
diameters from the bimodal size distribution [55]. Reported values are the average of at least three parallel measurements of 15 runs each.

Figure 1. (a) Major steps of the solvent-assisted method used for the formulation of cetyl alcohol-in-water nanoemulsions, and (b) images of prepared fatty alcohol-in-water nanoemulsions containing 2, 4, 6 and 8 wt.% of dispersed phase without a nucleating agent.

2.3. Thermophysical Profile

Solid–liquid transitions of bulk cetyl alcohol and prepared emulsions were investigated by means of a differential scanning calorimeter, DSC, Q2000 (TA Instruments, New Castle, WA, USA) equipped with a RSC90 refrigerated cooling system. About ten micrograms of sample were hermetically encapsulated in 20-μL TzeroTM aluminum pans, and a nitrogen stream (flow rate: 50 mL·min⁻¹, N₂ mole fraction purity > 0.999999) was flushed through the DSC chamber to create an inert atmosphere. Cooling and heating thermograms in the temperature range from 293 to 353 K were determined at 1–5 K·min⁻¹ scanning rates. The experimental uncertainties were estimated to be 0.3 K (with a repeatability of 0.7 J·g⁻¹) for the transition temperatures and latent heats, respectively [56].

Thermal conductivities, λ, were obtained in the temperature interval from 283 to 333 K using a THW-L2 device (Thermtest Inc., Richibucto Road, Hanwell, NB, Canada) based on the transient short hot-wire method [57]. Measurements were conducted using a standard THW-L2 probe consisting of an uninsulated alumel wire with a length of 60 mm and a diameter of about 0.1 mm. The sensor was vertically immersed in the tested liquid, which completely surrounded the probe so that heat could freely diffuse in all directions. Both sample and probe were contained in a specially build block maintained under isothermal conditions using a dry bath supplied by the manufacturer. Thermal powers between 150 and 190 mW and a power input time of 1 s were selected to reach a temperature rise of ~2 K in the investigated samples. An experimental uncertainty better than 4% was assumed for this property. More details regarding the experimental device and calibration can be found in References [57, 58].
Dynamic viscosity, $\mu$, was investigated in the temperature range from 283 to 333 K by means of a Physica MR-101 rotational rheometer (Anton Paar, Graz, Austria) working with a coaxial-cylinder geometry consisting of a CC27/T200/SS cup (inner diameter: 28.9 mm) and a B-CC27/P6 bob (outer diameter: 26.7 mm). The sample temperature was controlled to within 0.1 K by a C-PTD200 cylinder Peltier system. Viscosity flow curves were collected at shear rates logarithmically increasing from 1 to 100 s$^{-1}$ with at least 5 points per decimal. The declared uncertainty of the shear viscosity measurements with this device and geometry was better than 4%.

Densities, $\rho$, were determined in the temperature range from 283 to 353 K using a vibrating U-tube densimeter (DMA 4500, Anton Paar, Austria). The obtained values with this instrument had an uncertainty of 5·$10^{-4}$ g cm$^{-3}$ [59].

3. Results

3.1. Optimization of Emulsion Composition and Stability

The SDS surfactant proved to be effective for the preparation of various paraffin-in-water emulsions using a solvent-assisted method [33,35,60]. However, when emulsifying $n$-alkanols such as cetyl or stearyl alcohols in aqueous solutions of SDS or cetyltrimethylammonium chloride (CTAC), the association of the fatty alcohol with those ionic surfactants may lead to the formation of lamellar and vesicular gel networks once samples are cooled down below the melting point of the fatty alcohol [61–64]. Thus, unlike $n$-alkanes, the slightly polar head of $n$-alkanols and their straight carbon chain induce head-to-head and tail-to-tail interactions between these types of surfactants and fatty alcohols, allowing them to be integrated in lamellar-type networks [65]. Such multiphase colloidal structures (also called "α-gel") contain bilayers made of hexagonally-packed crystals of surfactants and fatty alcohols, which give rise to a creamy texture of the sample [62], which is uninteresting for heat transfer applications. Those gels, whose main binding forces are electrostatic in nature [61], were not observed for cetyl alcohol-in-water emulsions prepared using nonionic polyoxylated surfactants [66], for example. In order to reduce the interactions between cetyl alcohol and SDS, in our present study, we limited SDS:dispersed phase ratio to 1:80 (in mass) and incorporated a nonionic Brij 30 into the emulsions. Like SDS, Brij 30 also has a 12-carbon aliphatic chain as a lipophilic tail. However, the hydrophilic forces of Brij 30 head are not electrostatic in nature, and the hydrophilic lipophilic balance (HLB = 9.7) is considerably lower than SDS (usually considered HLB = 40 [17]). Thus, Brij 30 molecules would tend to avoid water more than SDS, which may be appropriate to disrupt the formation of possible lamellar/vesicular aggregates and network structures. Finally, in order to raise the repulsive electrostatic charges among dispersed droplets and avoid instability issues such as coalescence, a small amount of SDBS was also incorporated to the surfactant mixture. SDBS (HLB= 10.6) is another anionic surfactant based on the same dodecyl chain of SDS but also containing a strong hydrophobic benzene group.

In our present study, the composition and concentration of the surfactant mixture used to prepare the emulsions were optimized based on dynamic light scattering (DLS) analyses. Thus, a surfactant:dispersed phase mass ratio of 1:8 using an SDS:SDBS:Brij 30 mixture with a mass proportion of 10%:10%:80% was defined in order to ensure average hydrodynamic sizes of dispersed droplets lower than ~200 nm and absolute $\zeta$ eta Potentials larger than 40 mV. The DLS results measured at 298.15 K for some representative nanoemulsions are gathered in Table 1.

One day after preparation, nanoemulsions formulated using 2–8 wt% contents of fatty alcohols as the dispersed phase exhibited average droplet diameters in the range ~100–160 nm and polydispersity indices of 0.2 to 0.3. In the case of samples containing SiO$_2$ nanoparticles, the droplets were less polydisperse (pdi = 0.1–0.2) but ~50 nm larger. All investigated concentrations showed negative $\zeta$eta potentials in the range from −40 to −70 mV, which are, in the absolute value, above the ±30-mV threshold usually considered for good stability in colloids [67].
Table 1. ζeta potentials †, hydrodynamic diameters * and polydispersity indices (pdi) of cetyl alcohol-in-water nanoemulsions at 298.15 K ‡.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Formulation Parameters</th>
<th>1st Day</th>
<th>30th Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_{16}OH (Final wt.%)</td>
<td>Nucleating Agent (Final wt.%)</td>
<td>SDS + SDBS + Brij 30 (Final wt.%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 wt.%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 wt.%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 wt.%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.75 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 wt.%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.00 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 wt.%</td>
<td>C_{20}OH (0.2 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.25 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 wt.%</td>
<td>C_{20}OH (0.4 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.50 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4 wt.%</td>
<td>C_{20}OH (0.6 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.75 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2 wt.%</td>
<td>C_{20}OH (0.8 wt.%)</td>
<td>1.00 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.96 wt.%</td>
<td>SiO₂ (0.04 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.25 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.92 wt.%</td>
<td>SiO₂ (0.08 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.50 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.88 wt.%</td>
<td>SiO₂ (0.12 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.75 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.84 wt.%</td>
<td>SiO₂ (0.16 wt.%)</td>
<td>1.00 wt.%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† ζeta potential uncertainty U(ζeta potential): 10%. * Size uncertainty U(size): 5%. ‡ Temperature uncertainty U(T): 0.02 K.

The DLS droplet size measurements were monitored over a month and after several solidification–melting cycles to rule out possible destabilization issues under static conditions and when droplets undergo solid–liquid phase change. Figure 2a shows the temporal evolution of hydrodynamic diameters when emulsions are stored under static conditions during a month. The prepared samples exhibited only slight increases in the size of dispersed droplets (lower than ~20–30 nm). As presented in Figure 2b, no significant change was observed in the studied emulsions when the droplets were subject to 25 freeze–thaw cycles covering the temperature range between 283 and 333 K.

Figure 2. Evolution of the droplet average size: (a) throughout storage under static conditions and (b) after solidification–melting cycles for some representative emulsions.
3.2. Phase Change Characteristics

Crystallization and melting transitions of bulk cetyl alcohol and fatty alcohol emulsions were investigated in the temperature range from 293 to 353 K. The cooling and heating thermograms are presented in Figure 3, while the corresponding phase change enthalpies and temperatures are summarized in Table 2. As usual in the case of long-chain fatty alcohols, two exothermic transitions are observed in the cooling scan of the bulk C_{16}OH (Figure 3a). The first thermal event (at ~321.6 K) corresponds to the transformation from liquid to a hexagonally packed solid and the other (at ~316.4 K) to a polymorphic change from the hexagonal to orthorhombic crystalline phase [65,68–70]. The DSC heating curve of the bulk C_{16}OH presents a single endothermic peak of 212.7 J·g^{-1} at ~321.9 K corresponding to the melting of the alkanol (Figure 3b). The obtained melting results agree with the ranges of fusion latent heats (204–240 J·g^{-1}) and temperatures (~322–323 K) usually reported for pure cetyl alcohol [23,71–76].

Table 2. Phase change characteristics of bulk C_{16}OH alkanol and C_{16}OH-in-water emulsions obtained at low scanning rates †.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Formulation Parameters</th>
<th>Cooling</th>
<th>Heating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_{16}OH (Final wt.%)</td>
<td>Nucleating Agent (Final wt.%)</td>
<td>SDS + SDBS + Brij30 (Final wt.%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bulk C_{16}OH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 wt.%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 wt.%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 wt.%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.75 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 wt.%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.00 wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 wt.% C_{20}OH (0.2 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.25 wt.%</td>
<td>320.3/314.5 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 wt.% C_{20}OH (0.4 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.50 wt.%</td>
<td>320.4/314.6 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4 wt.% C_{20}OH (0.6 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.75 wt.%</td>
<td>320.5/314.8 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2 wt.% C_{20}OH (0.8 wt.%)</td>
<td>1.00 wt.%</td>
<td>320.8/315.0 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.96 wt.% SiO_{2} (0.04 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.25 wt.%</td>
<td>313.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.92 wt.% SiO_{2} (0.08 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.50 wt.%</td>
<td>313.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.88 wt.% SiO_{2} (0.12 wt.%)</td>
<td>0.75 wt.%</td>
<td>313.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.84 wt.% SiO_{2} (0.16 wt.%)</td>
<td>1.00 wt.%</td>
<td>313.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Bulk C_{16}OH phase change characteristics were obtained from β = 1 K·min^{-1} thermograms. In the case of nanoemulsions, determinations were made from the scans at β = 2 K·min^{-1} in order to ensure a better accuracy. P Peak temperatures. ‡ Temperature uncertainty U(T): 0.3 K. * Enthalpy uncertainty U(Δh): 1.2 K J·g^{-1}.

In the case of C_{16}OH-in-water emulsions prepared without any nucleating agent (Figure 3c,d), the onset temperature of melting transition was slightly lower (~1–2 K) than the corresponding value of the bulk fatty alcohol. A larger difference between onset and end set temperatures (with two superimposed endothermic peaks) is also observed in the case of C_{16}OH-in-water nanoemulsions. A similar two-peak behavior was also reported for solid–liquid particles of cetyl alcohol by Diniz et al. [66]. This larger difference between the onset and end set temperatures may be attributed to the difficulty in forming crystalline arrangements in confined spaces, which leads to a larger disorder of fatty alcohol crystals [66].
Figure 3. (a,c,e,g) Cooling and (b,d,f,h) heating DSC thermograms measured at $\beta = 2$ K·min$^{-1}$ for (a,b) bulk C$_{16}$OH alkanol and (c,d) PCM emulsions.
The latent heat of nanoemulsions $\Delta h_{\text{latent, PCME}}$ improves with the rising content of dispersed droplets. However, those values are about 65–69% the values expected according to the following mass relation:

$$\Delta h_{\text{latent, PCME}} = \varphi_{\text{PCM}} \Delta h_{\text{latent, PCM}}$$

(1)

where $\Delta h_{\text{latent}}$ is the latent heat, $\varphi$ is the mass fraction and PCM and PCME stand for the phase change material and phase change material emulsion, respectively. The latent heats up to 10–40% lower than predicted by Equation (1) were also reported in the literature for paraffin-in-water nanoemulsions [33,34,49] or other materials when confined in nanopores [77,78]. These reductions may be attributed to the fact that, in dispersions with fine droplets, a significant portion of PCM is taking part of the surface layer, which considerably reduces the crystal fraction (in comparison to the bulk situation) [34,47].

Larger shifts in the temperature can be observed when comparing the cooling thermograms of bulk cetyl alcohol and cetyl alcohol-in-water emulsions without any nucleating agent. Thus, while the subcooling (difference between onset temperatures in melting and solidification) is less than $\sim 1$ K in the case of bulk $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH}$, this value is up to $\sim 10$ K in $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH}$-in-water emulsions. Contrary to bulk systems, in emulsified samples, crystal growth is restricted by the droplet surface. Since all isolated PCM droplets are unlikely to unintentionally contain impurities or non-homogeneities, in such shrinking volumes, crystallization is usually dominated by homogeneous nucleation.

In order to try to reduce the subcooling of cetyl alcohol-in-water emulsions, two nucleating agents were tested, namely eicosyl alcohol, $\text{C}_{20}\text{OH}$ (another PCM with a higher melting temperature than the main component of the dispersed phase) and hydrophobic silica nanoparticles, $\text{SiO}_2$. In this investigation, the $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH}:\text{C}_{20}\text{OH}$ and $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH}:\text{SiO}_2$ ratios were fixed at 9:1 and 49:1, respectively. No further contents of the nucleating agents were considered to avoid important reductions of latent heat and further rises in dynamic viscosity (in the case of samples containing silica nanoparticles) [39,79]. Regarding $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH} + \text{C}_{20}\text{OH}/\text{W}$ emulsions (see Figure 3e,f), the fatty alcohol proved to be a good nucleating agent to trigger heterogeneous nucleation. Thus, even if the presence of $\text{C}_{20}\text{OH}$ did not considerably affect the melting transition, the freezing process shifted towards higher temperatures (in comparison to emulsions only containing $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH}$ as dispersed phase).

As for the $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH} + \text{SiO}_2/\text{W}$ system (Figure 3g,h), even if dispersed droplets underwent solid–liquid transition in a shorter temperature range (when compared to $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH}/\text{W}$ or $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH} + \text{C}_{20}\text{OH}/\text{W}$ samples), the onset temperature of solidification was similar to that of $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH}/\text{W}$ emulsions.

### 3.3. Heat Storage Capacity

The thermal energy stored by a PCM emulsion, $\Delta h_{\text{total, PCME}}$, in a temperature interval in which dispersed droplets undergo solid–liquid phase change, $\Delta T$, can be calculated as:

$$\Delta h_{\text{total, PCME}} = \left[ \varphi_{\text{PCM}} \cdot c_p, \text{PCM} + (1 - \varphi_{\text{PCM}}) \cdot c_p, \text{CF} \right] \cdot \Delta T + \Delta h_{\text{latent, PCME}}$$

(2)

where $\Delta h_{\text{latent}}$ is the latent heat; $\varphi$ is the mass fraction; $c_p$ is the isobaric heat capacity and PCM, CF and PCME subscripts stand for phase change material, carrier fluid and phase change material emulsion, respectively. Given the minor contributions of surfactants and nucleating agents to the total heat storage capacity of emulsions, their isobaric heat capacities were assumed equal to those of the main component of dispersed phase (cetyl alcohol), as also done in Reference [43]. Calculations were made using the latent heats gathered in Table 2 and the isobaric heat capacities reported in the literature for water [80] and cetyl alcohol. Figure 4 presents the enhancement in heat storage capacity (regarding water) for some cetyl alcohol nanoemulsions formulated with and without a nucleating agent. The enhancement in the stored thermal energy reduces with the increasing temperature interval considered for the calculation. Improvements in the heat storage capacity reached 20% for 8 wt.% $\text{C}_{16}\text{OH} + \text{C}_{20}\text{OH}$-in-water emulsion with $\Delta T = 10$ K. However, this value...
reduced to 11% for $\Delta T = 15$ K. This evidences the importance of reducing the subcooling of dispersed droplets, since a $\Delta T$ = subcooling would be the minimum temperature interval that emulsions need to cover so that the PCM drops reversely undergo solid–liquid phase transition.

Figure 4. Enhancements in the stored thermal energy vs. temperature interval covered by nanoemulsion, $\Delta T$.

3.4. Dynamic Viscosities

The shear rate, $\dot{\gamma}$, and dependence of the dynamic viscosity, $\mu$, were investigated for water; the phosphate buffer solution (0.04 M); bulk cetyl alcohol (only in the liquid phase) and the three nanoemulsions sets (C$_{16}$OH/W, C$_{16}$OH + C$_{20}$OH/W and C$_{16}$OH + SiO$_2$/W) at $\dot{\gamma}$ of 1–100 s$^{-1}$ and temperatures between 283.15 and 333.15 K. Figure 5a shows the flow curves obtained for water, PBS and some representative PCMEs at 283.15 K (when dispersed particles are solid). The $\mu$ results measured for water exhibited maximum deviations lower than 4% with the previous literature [80]. Slight differences (lower than 3%, within experimental uncertainty) were observed between the viscosities of the water and the phosphate buffer solution used as a continuous phase. A viscosity value of 8.19 mPa·s was measured for cetyl alcohol at 333.15 K, which agreed with data of 7.91 mPa·s reported by Fu et al. [81]. Like the phosphate buffer solution and bulk cetyl alcohol, nanoemulsions containing 2–6 wt.% loadings of the dispersed phase are mainly Newtonian within the investigated shear rate. However, a slight decrease in shear viscosity with a rising shear rate (so-called pseudoplastic or shear thinning behavior) was observed for the 8 wt.% emulsions (particularly in the case of the emulsion containing silica nanoparticles).

As expected, viscosity strongly rises with the content of the dispersed phase. As also presented in Figure 5b, those increases are higher when droplets are solid (182–214% in the case of higher of 8 wt.% emulsions) rather than liquid (137–165% for the same samples). This effect, more noticeable with rising content of the dispersed phase, was also observed for other paraffin-in-water emulsions [10]. Several elucidations have been postulated in the literature to justify this behavior. However, changes in the (non-)elastic nature of collisions among neighboring PCM droplets, seems the most likely [82].

The temperature evolution of dynamic viscosity is presented for C$_{16}$OH + C$_{20}$OH/W system in Figure 5c. The results obtained for the higher concentrations of the C$_{16}$OH + C$_{20}$OH/W and C$_{16}$OH + SiO$_2$/W sets (8 wt.% of dispersed phase) are also included for comparison in Figure 5c. The downwards trend of the $\mu(T)$ curves with increasing temperature can be modeled by the Vogel-Fulcher-Tamman-Hesse (VFT) equation [83–85]:

$$\ln \mu(T) = \ln \mu_0 + \frac{D \cdot T_0}{T - T_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\mu_0$, $D$ and $T_0$ are the fitting coefficients. As gathered in Table 3, the measured viscosities can be correlated with standard deviations ranging from 0.03 to 0.07 mPa·s (absolute average deviations, AADs%, of 1.3–2.9%).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluids</th>
<th>Dynamic viscosity (mPa·s)</th>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00162</td>
<td>23.175</td>
<td>293.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00213</td>
<td>23.167</td>
<td>293.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00281</td>
<td>23.152</td>
<td>293.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00328</td>
<td>23.145</td>
<td>293.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00473</td>
<td>23.132</td>
<td>293.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00480</td>
<td>23.155</td>
<td>293.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00542</td>
<td>23.156</td>
<td>293.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. (a) Shear rate–shear viscosity flow curves obtained for water, PBS and some nanoemulsions at 283.15 K; (b) increases in viscosity (regarding water) obtained at 293.15 K (solid dispersed droplets) and 333.15 K (liquid dispersed droplets) for emulsions loaded with 4 and 8 wt.% of the dispersed phase and (c) temperature dependence of the viscosity for the C_{16}OH + C_{20}OH/W system. Temperature uncertainty $U(T): 0.1$ K. Viscosity uncertainty $U(\mu): 5\%$. 

The temperature evolution of dynamic viscosity is presented for C_{16}OH + C_{20}OH/W emulsions at 283.15 K (solid dispersed droplets) and 333.15 K (liquid dispersed droplets) for emulsions loaded with 4 and 8 wt.% of the dispersed phase. 

- **Water**
- **Phosphate buffer solution, PBS, (0.04 M)**
- **C_{16}OH(2 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(1.8 wt.%)+C_{20}OH(0.2 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(1.96 wt.%)+SiO_{2}(0.04 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(6 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(5.4 wt.%)+C_{20}OH(0.6 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(5.88 wt.%)+SiO_{2}(0.12 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(8 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(7.2 wt.%)+C_{20}OH(0.8 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(7.84 wt.%)+SiO_{2}(0.16 wt.%)/water**
- **Newtonian behaviour (C_{16}OH/water samples)**

Temperature uncertainty $U(T): 0.1$ K. Viscosity uncertainty $U(\mu): 5\%$. 

**Figure 5.** (a) Shear rate–shear viscosity flow curves obtained for water, PBS and some nanoemulsions at 283.15 K; (b) increases in the viscosity (regarding water) obtained at 293.15 K (solid dispersed droplets) and 333.15 K (liquid dispersed droplets) for emulsions loaded with 4 and 8 wt.% of the dispersed phase and (c) temperature dependence of the viscosity for the C_{16}OH + C_{20}OH/W system. Temperature uncertainty $U(T): 0.1$ K. Viscosity uncertainty $U(\mu): 5\%$. 

- **Water**
- **Phosphate buffer solution, PBS, (0.04 M)**
- **C_{16}OH(1.8 wt.%)+C_{20}OH(0.2 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(3.6 wt.%)+C_{20}OH(0.4 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(1.8 wt.%)+C_{20}OH(0.4 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(5.4 wt.%)+C_{20}OH(0.6 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(7.2 wt.%)+C_{20}OH(0.8 wt.%)/water**
- **C_{16}OH(7.84 wt.%)+SiO_{2}(0.16 wt.%)/water**
- **VFT equation, Equation (3)**
Table 3. Fitting parameters ($\eta_0$, $D$ and $T_0$); standard deviations ($\sigma$) and absolute average deviations (AAD%) obtained from the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann-Hesse model, Equation (3), when modeling the temperature dependence of the shear viscosities obtained for some representative samples at ~100 s$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Phosphate Buffer Solution, PBS, (0.04 M)</th>
<th>Water-Based Emulsions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C$_{16}$OH (2 wt.%)</td>
<td>C$_{16}$OH (4 wt.%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C$_{16}$OH (6 wt.%)</td>
<td>C$_{16}$OH (8 wt.%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_0$/mPa·s</td>
<td>0.00162</td>
<td>0.00213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>75.182</td>
<td>75.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_0$/K</td>
<td>23.175</td>
<td>23.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$/mPa·s</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAD%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5. Thermal Conductivity

Experimental thermal conductivities, $\lambda$, for water, the phosphate buffer solution (PBS) used as the continuous phase and several cetyl alcohol-in-water nanoemulsions are plotted in Figure 6a. The measured $\lambda$ value for bulk cetyl alcohol in the liquid phase was 0.133 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (at 333 K), which is in agreement (within 1.5%) with the data of 0.131 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ reported by Huang et al. [86]. In the studied temperature range, the results obtained for water showed an absolute average deviation of 2.5% and a maximum deviation of 3.9% with the values recommended by REFPROP [80].

Figure 6. (a) Thermal conductivity vs. temperature for some representative emulsions and (b) volume fraction, $\phi_{PCME+NA+SFT}$, dependence of the relative thermal conductivity (defined as $\lambda_{PCME}/\lambda_{PBS}$). Temperature uncertainty $U(T)$: 0.1 K. Thermal conductivity uncertainty $U(\lambda)$: 5%.
Given the lower thermal conductivity of fatty alcohols (in comparison with water), \( \lambda \) reduces with the increasing concentration of the dispersed phase. The diminutions in thermal conductivity are larger when dispersed droplets are liquid (13.3–14.3\% for the emulsions containing 8 wt.% of the dispersed phase) than when they are solid (8.5–10.5\% at the same concentration). These results agree with the fact that the thermal conductivity of cetyl alcohol is lower in the liquid than in the solid phase (0.35–0.36 W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) \cite{70,87}). Even if the variations are within the experimental uncertainty, a comparison between \( \lambda \) values of three different studied PCME sets mainly shows: \( \text{C}_{16}\text{OH} + \text{SiO}_2/W > \text{C}_{16}\text{OH}/W > \text{C}_{16}\text{OH} + \text{C}_{20}\text{OH}/W \).

Maxwell \cite{88} proposed a semiempirical equation to predict the thermal conductivity of low-concentrated dispersions of noninteracting spheres:

\[
\frac{\lambda_{\text{PCME}}}{\lambda_{\text{PBS}}} = \frac{2\lambda_{\text{PBS}} + \lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}} + 2\phi_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}}(\lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}} - \lambda_{\text{PBS}})}{2\lambda_{\text{PBS}} + \lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}} - \phi_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}}(\lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}} - \lambda_{\text{PBS}})}
\]

(4)

In the case of macroscopically homogeneous multiphase systems, Hashin and Shtrikman (H–S) \cite{89} also derived the two following expressions for the lower and upper bounds of effective thermal conductivity:

\[
\frac{\lambda_{\text{PCME}}}{\lambda_{\text{PBS}}} = \frac{1 + \frac{2\phi_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}}(\lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}} - \lambda_{\text{PBS}})}{\lambda_{\text{PBS}}}}{\frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}} - \phi_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}}(\lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}} - \lambda_{\text{PBS}})}
\]

(5)

\[
\frac{\lambda_{\text{PCME}}}{\lambda_{\text{PBS}}} = \lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}}/\lambda_{\text{PBS}} \left[ 1 - \frac{2(1 - \phi_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}}(\lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}} - \lambda_{\text{PBS}}))}{\lambda_{\text{PBS}}} \right]
\]

(6)

In our work, the thermal conductivity of dispersed droplets (phase change material + nucleating agent + surfactant mixture, \( \lambda_{\text{PCM} + \text{NA} + \text{SFT}} \)) was assumed equal to that of cetyl alcohol. Figure 6b presents the relationship between the \( \lambda_{\text{PCME}}/\lambda_{\text{PBS}} \) ratio and volume fraction of the dispersed phase. As can be observed, our experimental thermal conductivities were lower than predicted by the Maxwell model \cite{88}. The deviations reached 5\% in the case of emulsions prepared at the highest concentrations of the dispersed phase. A similar result was also reported by Kawanami et al. \cite{90} when investigating \( n \)-octadecane-in-water emulsions. When compared with the Hashin and Shtrikman \cite{89} model, effective experimental thermal conductivities at 333 K (liquid droplets) fall within the upper and lower bounds predicted by Equations (5) and (6). However, when dispersed droplets are solid, the experimental \( \lambda_{\text{PCME}}/\lambda_{\text{PBS}} \) ratios are lower than calculated by the H–S \cite{89} equations.

3.6. Density

Density, \( \rho \), was investigated for water and the phosphate buffer solution (PBS 0.04 M), as well as \( \text{C}_{16}\text{OH}/W \) and \( \text{C}_{16}\text{OH} + \text{C}_{20}\text{OH}/W \) emulsions. Figure 7a shows the temperature evolution of density for the \( \text{C}_{16}\text{OH}/W \) samples prepared at different concentrations of fatty alcohol. The \( \text{C}_{16}\text{OH} + \text{C}_{20}\text{OH}/W \) emulsion prepared with the highest content of the dispersed phase is also shown for comparison. Slightly higher values (0.2\%) were observed for the phosphate buffer solution in comparison to water. Nanoemulsion densities reduced with the rising content of the dispersed phase. At the 8 wt.% concentration, diminutions reached 1.2\% and 1.8\% when the \( \text{C}_{16}\text{OH} \) droplets were solid and liquid, respectively. \( \text{C}_{16}\text{OH} + \text{C}_{20}\text{OH}/W \) densities are slightly higher than \( \text{C}_{16}\text{OH}/W \), but the deviations are <0.07\% (almost the experimental uncertainty). The experimental results for the \( \text{C}_{16}\text{OH}/W \) system at temperatures higher than 328.15 K (when cetyl alcohol is liquid) exhibited deviations lower than 0.4\% with the values provided by means of the following weighted average equation based on the mixing theory:

\[
\frac{1}{\rho_{\text{PCME}}} = \frac{\phi_{\text{PCM}}}{\rho_{\text{PCM}}} + \frac{\phi_{\text{SFT}}}{\rho_{\text{SFT}}} + \frac{1 - \phi_{\text{PCM}} - \phi_{\text{SFT}}}{\rho_{\text{PBS}}}
\]

(7)
Thermal expansivity

![Graph](image)

Figure 7. Temperature dependence of the (a) density and (b) isobaric thermal expansivity for water, phosphate buffer solutions and C16OH-in-water or/and C16OH + C20OH-in-water PCMEs. Temperature uncertainty $U(T)$: 0.1 K. Density uncertainty $U(\rho)$: 0.05%. Thermal expansivity uncertainty $U(\alpha_p)$: 4%.

A noticeable change in $\rho$ can be appreciated for the emulsion systems at temperatures around the solid–liquid phase change of cetyl alcohol. Thus, in the temperature range 313.15–328.15 K, water-based emulsions containing 8 wt.% of C16OH or C16OH + C20OH exhibit changes in the density of 1.2%, which is almost double the value of water (0.7%) in that same temperature interval. $\rho$ modifications with the temperature were further analyzed by means of the isobaric thermal expansivity, $\alpha_p$. This property can be calculated from the numerical differentiation of density–temperature curves using the following expression:

$$\alpha_p = -\left(1/\rho\right)\left(\partial \rho / \partial T\right)_p$$

A function of temperature by a two second-order polynomial, i.e., $\rho = A_2 \cdot T^2 + A_1 \cdot T + A_0$, was fitted to the experimental densities. In the case of nanoemulsions, two polynomial fittings were used: one for 283.15 K ≤ $T$ ≤ 313.15 K (fatty alcohol droplets are solid) and the other for 328.15 K ≤ $T$ ≤ 353.15 K (liquid droplets). The $A_i$ fitting coefficients are gathered in Table 4. Figure 7b compares the $\alpha_p$ values of water and the buffer solution with those of four representative C16OH/W and C16OH + C20OH/W emulsions. As can be observed, the isobaric thermal expansivity increases with the content of dispersed fatty alcohol, which agrees with the fact that cetyl alcohol has a higher expansivity ($\alpha_p = 7–8 \cdot 10^{-4}$ K$^{-1}$ at 343 K [81,91]) than water.
Table 4. The $A_i$ coefficients and percentage absolute average deviations, AAD%, obtained from second-order polynomial fittings of the density results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Phosphate Buffer Solution, PBS, (0.04 M)</th>
<th>Water-Based Nanoemulsions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>283.15 K $\leq T \leq$ 353.15 K</td>
<td>283.15 K $\leq T \leq$ 313.15 K (fatty alcohol droplets are solid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_0$/g·cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.7549</td>
<td>0.7301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^3$-$A_1$/g·cm$^{-3}$·K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1.895</td>
<td>0.7437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-10^6$-$A_2$/g·cm$^{-3}$·K$^{-2}$</td>
<td>3.614</td>
<td>0.7750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAD%</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>0.7618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>328.15 K $\leq T \leq$ 353.15 K (fatty alcohol droplets are liquid)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_0$/g·cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.6829</td>
<td>0.6802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^3$-$A_1$/g·cm$^{-3}$·K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2.926</td>
<td>0.7437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-10^6$-$A_2$/g·cm$^{-3}$·K$^{-2}$</td>
<td>4.214</td>
<td>0.6891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAD%</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
<td>0.6493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

Cetyl alcohol-in-water nanoemulsions containing 2, 4, 6 and 8 wt.% of the dispersed phase were prepared following a solvent-assisted method. The sample composition was optimized in order to obtain PCMs with small droplets (in the range of 100–220 nm), good fluidity and stability through time and after the freeze–thaw cycles. Phase change analyses showed that nanoemulsions containing only cetyl alcohol as the dispersed phase exhibited subcooling effects of up to 10 K. Eicosyl and hydrophobic silica nanoparticles were tested as nucleating agents, and the former proved to be a good option to trigger heterogeneous nucleation and reduce the subcooling of dispersed droplets to a few degrees. The 2–6 wt.% samples exhibited a desirable Newtonian viscosity, while the 8 wt.% dispersions presented a slight shear thinning behavior (more noticeable in the case of samples containing silica nanoparticles as the nucleating agent). Even if the PCMEs had thermal conductivities much larger than bulk cetyl alcohol (at least 60% better when dispersed droplets are solid), this property reduced with the increasing content of fatty alcohol. Volumetric studies showed a change in the density of 1.2% (which was almost double the value of water) in the temperature range in which fatty alcohol droplets undergo solid–liquid phase change. The dispersion of cetyl alcohol droplets proved to increase the thermal capacity of water. Thus, PCMEs with 8 wt.% of the dispersed phase showed an energy storage capacity 20% higher (considering an operational temperature interval of 10 K around the solid–liquid transition of cetyl alcohol).
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Nomenclature

AAD%  percentage absolute average deviations;
$A_i$  second-order polynomial coefficients
$\alpha_p$  thermal expansivity [K$^{-1}$];
$\beta$  heating/cooling scanning rate [K·min$^{-1}$]
$c_p$  isobaric heat capacity [J·g$^{-1}$·g$^{-1}$]
$C_{16}OH$  cetyl alcohol or 1-hexadecanol
$C_{20}OH$  eicosyl alcohol or 1-eicosanol
CTAC  cetyltrimethylammonium chloride
DLS  dynamic light scattering
DSC  differential scanning calorimetry
$\Delta h_{\text{latent}}$  latent heat [J·g$^{-1}$]
$\Delta T$  temperature difference covered by the sample [K]
$\phi$  volume fraction;
$\gamma$  shear rate [s$^{-1}$];
NA  nucleating agent
N$\text{\AA}$  nitrogen
HAVC  heating, ventilation and air conditioning
PBS  phosphate buffer solution
PCM  phase change material
PCME  phase change material emulsion
$pdi$  polydispersity index
$\rho$  density [g·cm$^{-3}$]
$s$  standard deviation
SDBS  sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate
SDS  sodium dodecyl sulfate
SFT  surfactant
SiO$_2$  silica nanoparticles
$T$  temperature [K]
TES  thermal energy storage
$\lambda$  thermal conductivity [W·m$^{-1}$·K$^{-1}$]
$\mu$  shear dynamic viscosity [mPa·s]
$\mu_r = \mu_{\text{PCM}}/\mu_{\text{water+SDS}}$  relative viscosity of sample to corresponding water + SDS mixture
VFT  Vogel-Fulcher-Tamman-Hesse ($\mu_0$, D and $T_0$: VFT fitting coefficients)
W  water;
w.t.%  mass concentration

Subscripts

CF  carrier fluid
latent  latent
melt.  melting
$p$  peak temperature
PCM  phase change materials
PCME  phase change material emulsion
sol.  solidification.
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